TECHNICAL REPORT ARCCB-TR-89025

DETERMINATION OF SULFURIC ACID IN ANODIZING AND HARDCOATING SOLUTIONS BY ACID-BASE TITRATION USING A pH METER

SAMUEL SOPOK

S DTIC ELECTE DEC 12 1989

OCTOBER 1989



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER CLOSE COMBAT ARMAMENTS CENTER

CLOSE COMBAT ARMAMENTS CENTER BENÉT LABORATORIES WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189-4050



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacturer(s) does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

For classified documents, follow the procedures in DoD 5200.22-M, Industrial Security Manual, Section II-19 or DoD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation. Chapter IX.

For unclassified, limited documents, destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

For unclassified, unlimited documents, destroy when the report is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ARCCB-TR-89025	_	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
DETERMINATION OF SULFURIC ACID IN ANODIZING AND HARDCOATING SOLUTIONS BY ACID-BASE TITRATION USING A PH METER		Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(e)
Samuel Sopok		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRE	:5\$	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
U.S. Army ARDEC		AMCMS No. 6126.23.1BL0.0
Benet Laboratories, SMCAR-CCB-TL		PRON No. 1A92ZNACNMSC
Watervliet, NY 12189-4050		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army ARDEC		October 1989
Close Combat Armaments Center		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000		12
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II ditte	rent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		L

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Submitted to Plating and Surface Finishing.

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Chemical Analysis Sulfuric Acid

Anodizing Solutions Hardcoating Solutions Aluminum Finishing Solutions Acid-Base Titration pH Meter

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if recoverary and identity by block number)

The chemical literature lacks an acceptable analytical method for adequately monitoring sulfuric acid in aluminum finishing solutions during the finishing processes. These solutions include anodizing and hardcoating solutions. In this report, an improved method is presented that provides acceptable analysis and monitoring of this acid during the finishing processes. The optimum operating ranges of this acid are 90 to 150 g/l and 120 to 150 g/l for the respective anodizing and hardcoating solutions. The resulting precisions are

(CONT'D ON REVERSE)

ECURIT	CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)		
20.	ABSTRACT (CONT'D) in the range of 1 to 3 g/1, providing adequate monitoring of these metal finishing solutions supported by seven years of testing.		
	and the first in the second of the		
į			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ACKNO	HLEDGEMENTS	ii
INTRO	DUCTION	1
EXPER	IMENTAL PROCEDURE	. 2
RESUL [*]	TS AND DISCUSSION	. 3
REFER	ENCES	5
	TABLES	
I.	EXPERIMENTAL TITRATION DATA FOR ANODIZING SAMPLE SOLUTIONS ONE AND TWO	. 6
II.	EXPERIMENTAL TITRATION DATA FOR HARDCOATING SAMPLE SOLUTIONS THREE AND FOUR	. 6
III.	PRECISION OF A 10-ml CLASS-A PIPET	. 7
IV.	PRECISION OF A pH = 7 BUFFER SOLUTION	. 8
٧.	PRECISION OF READINGS AT pH = 7	. 9
VI.	PRECISION OF A 40-g/1 SODIUM HYDROXIDE STANDARD SOLUTION	10
VII.	PRECISION OF A 50-ml CLASS-A BURET	. 10



Accession For				
NTIS	NTIS GRAEI			
DTIC	DTIC TAB			
Unann	Unannounced [
Justification				
Distribution/ Availability Codes				
	Avail		or	
Dist	t Special			
A-1				

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks are given to Ellen Fogarty and Rose Neifeld of Benet

Laboratories for their respective word processing and technical editing work on
this manuscript.

INTRODUCTION

The chemical literature lacks an acceptable analytical method for adequately monitoring sulfuric acid in aluminum finishing solutions during the anodizing and hardcoating processes. Lack of optimization of these finishing solutions causes serious problems for the aluminum finishing industry such as poor quality products and wasted resources.

An analytical method to determine sulfuric acid in these solutions is a sodium hydroxide titrant and a phenolphthalein indicator to detect the endpoint for this acid (ref 1). The problem associated with this method is that the color changes at the endpoint for these sample solutions are gradual and indistinct. This is shown in the literature in the acid-base titration chapter of Fritz and Schenk (ref 2), where the endpoint with this indicator varies by plus or minus one pH unit depending on the chemistry of the solution. Relative precisions of this method are in the range of 2 to 4 percent.

Another chemical analysis method to determine sulfuric acid in these solutions is a sodium hydroxide titrant where a titration curve is acquired for the sample solution. This method is not given anywhere in the literature, but it can be derived from basic principles (refs 2,3). The inflection point or first derivative of the titration curve provides the endpoint of the acid titration. The problem associated with this method is that acquiring titration curves is a time-consuming and tedious procedure which is unacceptably slow for this application. Automated titrators were used to make the procedure less time-consuming and less tedious, but they do not locate the endpoints precisely for sulfuric acid as experienced by this author. Relative precisions of this method are in the range of 2 to 3 percent.

References are listed at the end of this report.

The theoretical endpoint and pH value to determine sulfuric acid in the finishing solutions by a sodium hydroxide titrant can be calculated to 0.1 pH unit from the data in the acid-base titration chapters of Fritz and Schenk (ref 2) and Peters et al. (ref 3) for these chemical conditions.

The simple method presented in this report provides acceptable analysis and monitoring of this acid in the finishing solutions. The method uses the sodium hydroxide titrant, the calculated theoretical endpoint value, and a pH meter to determine the acid. Relative precisions of this method are in the range of 1 to 2 percent. This method combines the speed of the indicator method with the precision of the titration curve method.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Strict analytical chemistry methods and procedures are followed throughout this experimental procedure section. An excellent source of reference for these methods and procedures is by Fritz and Schenk (ref 2).

Two analytical reagent grade standard solutions are required. The first solution is a 40 ± 0.01 -g/l sodium hydroxide solution that is standardized with primary standard potassium acid phthalate as outlined in References 2 and 4. The second is a 7 ± 0.05 -pH unit standard buffer solution. This buffer solution is standardized against the primary standard buffer solutions in Table 11-1 of Reference 3.

Preparation of either an anodizing or hardcoating sample solution for titration analysis requires that 10 milliliters (ml) of the sample solution is pipetted into a 400-ml beaker. The beaker is then filled to approximately the 200-ml mark with deionized water and a stirring bar is added.

Calibration of the pH meter is accomplished using the pH = 7 buffer solution. The pH readings for the buffer solution may not vary by more than 0.10 pH unit.

The sulfuric acid sample solutions are titrated using sodium hydroxide titrant to an endpoint at 7 ± 0.10 pH units recording the amount of titrant dispensed in milliliters at the endpoint as "reading A."

All standard and sample solutions are analyzed in triplicate. Sulfuric acid concentrations in the samples are calculated by normal chemical stoichiometry.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental acid-base titration data are presented in Table I for sample anodizing solutions one and two and in Table II for sample hardcoating solutions three and four. The acid-base titration consists of the following two equations to various definite extents:

$$H_2SO_4 + NaOH <--> NaHSO_4 + H_2O$$
 (1)

$$NaHSO_4 + NaOH <--> Na_2SO_4 + H_2O$$
 (2)

The calculation for determining the concentration of sulfuric acid in the sample solutions is

$$g/1 H_2SO_4 = (A) (B) (9.8)$$
 (3)

where

A = "reading A" in ml

B = titrant normality

and the constant value in Eq. (3) is the combined result of many constants (ref 2).

Using Eq. (3), the respective sulfuric acid values for sample solutions one and two in Table I are 95.4 and 144.3 g/l. Likewise, using the same equation, the respective ε uric acid values for sample solutions three and four in Table II are 124.4 and 143.6 g/l.

It is useful to evaluate the variations in precision for the materials and methods used. Tables III through VII present the data for the 10-ml class-A pipets, pH = 7 buffer solution, pH meter reading at pH = 7, 40-g/l sodium hydroxide titrant, and 50-ml class-A burets, respectively.

The data obtained by this method are sufficient to adequately monitor the sulfuric acid in the anodizing and hardcoating aluminum finishing processes, thus providing efficient use of resources. The optimum operating ranges of this acid are 90 to 150 g/l and 120 to 150 g/l for the respective anodizing and hardcoating solutions. The resulting precisions are in the range of 1 to 3 g/l, providing adequate monitoring of these metal finishing solutions supported by seven years of testing.

REFERENCES

- Metal Finishing Guidebook, Metals and Plastics Publications, Inc., Hackensack, NJ, 1984.
- 2. J. Fritz and G. Schenk, Quantitative Analytical Chemistry, Fifth Edition, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.
- 3. D. Peters, J. Hayes, and G. Hieftje, <u>Chemical Separations and Measurements:</u>

 <u>Theory and Practice of Analytical Chemistry</u>, W. B. Saunders Company,

 Philadelphia, PA, 1974.
- 4. R. Brumblay, <u>Quantitative Analysis</u>, Harper and Row Publishers, New York, 1972.

TABLE I. EXPERIMENTAL TITRATION DATA FOR ANODIZING SAMPLE SOLUTIONS ONE AND TWO

Replicates	Sample One Titrant Used (ml)	Sample Two Titrant Used (ml)
1	9.75	14.70
2	9.75	14.80
3	9.75	14.75
X(avg)	9.75	14.75

TABLE II. EXPERIMENTAL TITRATION DATA FOR HARDCOATING SAMPLE SOLUTIONS THREE AND FOUR

Replicates	Sample Three Titrant Used (ml)	Sample Four Titrant Used (ml)
1	12.70	14.65
2	12.75	14.70
3	12.70	14.70
X(avg)	12.72	14.68

TABLE III. PRECISION OF A 10-m1 CLASS-A PIPET

Replicate	10-ml Pipet Volume (ml)*
1	10.02
2	10.00
3	10.02
4	9.98
5	9.98
6	9.98
X(avg)	10.00
Sn	0.02

^{*}Volumes are calculated from the weight-volume relationship of a pipetted deionized water solution corrected for temperature.

TABLE IV. PRECISION OF A pH = 7 BUFFER SOLUTION

Replicate	pH = 7 Buffer Solution*
1	7.05
2	7.02
3	6.98
4	6.99
5	6.95
6	6.95
X(avg)	6.99
Sn	0.04

^{*}The pH values are standardized against primary standard buffer solutions in the potentiometry chapter of Reference 3 using a pH meter.

TABLE V. PRECISION OF READINGS AT pH = 7

Replicate	pH = 7 Readings *
1	7.02
2	7.03
3	7.01
4	6.99
5	6.98
6	7.02
X(avg)	7.01
Sn	0.02

^{*}The pH values are standardized against primary standard buffer solutions in the potentiometry chapter of Reference 3 using a pH meter.

TABLE VI. PRECISION OF A 40-g/1 SODIUM HYDROXIDE STANDARD SOLUTION

Replicate	Sodium Hydroxide (g/l)*
1	40.01
2	40.00
3	39.99
4	39.99
5	40.01
6	40.00
X(avg)	40.00
Sn	0.01

^{*}Sodium hydroxide concentrations are calculated by titration using the primary standard potassium acid phthalate for standardization.

TABLE VII. PRECISION OF A 50-m1 CLASS-A BURET

Replicate	Volume (ml)*
1	24.94
2	24.98
3	25.02
4	25.05
5	24.98
6	25.05
X(avg)	25.00
Sn	0.04

^{*}Volumes are calculated from the weight-volume relationship of a contained deionized water solution corrected for temperature at a point one-half full--25 ml.

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	NO. OF
	COPIES
CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING DIVISION	_
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-D	1
-DA	1
-DC	1
-DM	1
-DP	1
-DR	1 1
-DS (SYSTEMS)	1
CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT DIVISION	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-S	1
-SE	1
GE .	•
CHIEF, RESEARCH DIVISION	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-R	2
-RA	1
-RM	
-RP	1
-RT	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	5
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL	
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING SECTION	3
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL	
DIDECTOR OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: SMCWV-OD	
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: SMCWV-PP	•
ATTIT. SHORE IT	
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: SMCWV-QA	_

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY DIRECTOR, BENET LABORATORIES, ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

NO. C COPIE		NO. OF COPIES
ASST SEC OF THE ARMY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ATTN: DEPT FOR SCI AND TECH 1 THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310-0103	COMMANDER ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SMCRI-ENM ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-	1 5000
ADMINISTRATOR DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: DTIC-FDAC 12 CAMERON STATION	DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BAS ATTN: AMXIB-P ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-	1
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6145 COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-AEE	COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D ATTN: AMSTA-DDL (TECH WARREN, MI 48397-5000	
SMCAR-AES, BLDG. 321 1 SMCAR-AET-O, BLDG. 351N 1 SMCAR-CC 1 SMCAR-CCP-A 1	COMMANDER US MILITARY ACADEMY ATTN: DEPARTMENT OF ME WEST POINT, NY 10996-1	
	US ARMY MISSILE COMMAN REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC IN ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 3	FO CTR 2 BLDG. 4484
DIRECTOR US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T, BLDG. 305 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5066	ATTN: DRXST-SD	1
DIRECTOR US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV ATTN: AMXSY-MP ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5071 COMMANDER HQ, AMCCOM	US ARMY LABCOM	LIB) 2
ATTN: AMSMC-IMP-L 1 ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-6000		

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET LABORATORIES, SMCAR-CCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189-4050, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT'D)

NO. OF COPIES		NO. OF COPIES
COMMANDER	COMMANDER	
US ARMY LABCOM, ISA	AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY	
ATTN: SLCIS-IM-TL 1	ATTN: AFATL/MN	1
2800 POWDER MILL ROAD	EGLIN AFB, FL 32542-5434	
ADELPHI, MD 20783-1145		
	COMMANDER	
COMMANDER	AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY	
US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE	ATTN: AFATL/MNF	
ATTN: CHIEF, IPO 1	EGLIN AFB, FL 32542-5434	1
P.O. BOX 12211		
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-2211	METALS AND CERAMICS INFO CTR	
	BATTELLE COLUMBUS DIVISION	
DIRECTOR	505 KING AVENUE	
US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB	COLUMBUS, OH 43201-2693	1
ATTN: MATERIALS SCI & TECH DIVISION 1		
CODE 26-27 (DOC LIB) 1		
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375		

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET LABORATORIES, SMCAR-CCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189-4050, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.